

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,427	10/05/2006	Thomas J. Webster	3220-100466	3926
23644 BARNES & T	7590 03/02/2011 HORNBURG LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2786			SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD	
CHICAGO, IL	. 60690-2786		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patent-ch@btlaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/550,427	WEBSTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bruce E. Snow	3738	

		Bruce E. Snow	3/38								
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress							
THE	THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.										
a) b)	<del></del>										
nave unde set fo may i	intensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.38(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.38(a) and the appropriate extension fee autor bear filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et of thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed win NDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the								
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause							
4 F	(a) \( \text{ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) \( \text{ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) \) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or \( \text{ They are not determed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or \) They present additional claims without canceling a CNOTE: \( \text{ See Continuation Sheet} \) (See 37 CFR 1.1 \)	w); ter form for appeal by materially reconstructions of finally rejected and 41.33(a)).	ducing or simplifying the								
5. 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):										
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected 10: 25 and 32-356 Claim(s) objected 14. 15. 22. 23. 26-31 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e:	planation of							
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  The afficiavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).										
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFH 4.13(d)(1).											
REC	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•								
11. [	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:											
		/Bruce E Snow/	0700								

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3738

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant states, "amending claims 25 and 32 to place them in independent form incorporating all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims". The examiner disagrees with this statement an notes that, for example, claim 14 has been amended deleting "fiber" and "polymer matrix". Applicant also now uses the language "polyurethane/nanomaterial" which has not been used in prosecution or supported in the specification. Further consideration is needed.